

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

At a regular meeting of the Pulaski County Board of Supervisors held on Monday, November 22, 1999 at 7:00 p.m. at the Pulaski County Administration Building, Board Room, 143 Third Street, NW, in the Town of Pulaski, Virginia, the following members were present: Joseph L. Sheffey, Chairman; Dr. Bruce L. Fariss; Charles E. Cook; Jerry D. White, Vice-Chairman; and Frank R. Conner. Staff members present included: County Administrator Joseph N. Morgan; County Attorney Thomas J. McCarthy, Jr.; Assistant County Administrator Peter M. Huber; Gena T. Hanks, Executive Secretary; and Nancy M. Burchett, Management Services Director.

1. Invocation

The invocation was given by County Administrator, Joseph Morgan.

2. Additions to Agenda

The Board reviewed additions to the agenda and accepted them as presented.

Staff was instructed to add the swearing in of all newly elected officials at the December 20, 1999 Board of Supervisors meeting.

3. Public Hearings

- a. A rezoning request by Awad E. and Linda A. Kawa from Residential (R-3) to Commercial (CM-1) for property identified as tax map no. 029-004-0012-0001A, 0.86 acre, located at 7446 Peppers Ferry Blvd., southwest corner of Peppers Ferry Blvd. (Rt. 114) and Pulaski Avenue (Rt. 702), Cloyd District.

Peter Huber, Assistant County Administrator, reported that the Planning Commission recommends approval of the above request. He advised the request does conform to the Comprehensive Plan. He further reported that VDOT had advised that the entrance will not have to be changed.

Chairman Sheffey opened the public hearing on this matter.

Mr. Awad Kawa presented a petition to the Board requesting that the above rezoning request be approved. Mr. Kawa advised a business had been at this location for the past 40 years. He requested the Board to approve the rezoning request.

No further comments were heard; therefore, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

On the motion of Dr. Fariss, seconded by Mr. Cook and carried, the Board of Supervisors approved the above rezoning request as recommended by the Planning Commission, and further, requested that the Planning Commission review adjacent areas along Rt. 114 for possible commercial zoning also.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: Mr. Sheffey.

- b. Condition of property owned by William David Gravely, identified on Pulaski County real estate records as tax map parcel 007-001-0000-0022, zoned Residential (R1), 2.5900 acres, located at 6457 Parrott Mountain Road (Rt. 606), Cloyd District.

Peter Huber, Assistant County Administrator, reported the above property condition had been a long-standing problem. He reviewed photographs of the property reflecting various county ordinance violations. He recommended the Board authorize staff to determine the cost for the clean up of the above noted property, notify the owner of the cost and proceed with county cleaning up the property, if no clean up action is taken by the property owner and a lien be placed on the property for the clean up expense incurred by the county.

Chairman Sheffey opened the public hearing on this matter.

Mr. Ed Hoggatt of Parrott advised that an individual is currently occupying a mobile home located on the property and has been living in the mobile home for a number of years without proper authority.

No further comments were heard; therefore, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

The Board of Supervisors instructed staff to develop a plan and determine the cost for the cleaning up of the above noted property and report back to the Board once a plan and costs have been determined. In addition, the Board requested staff to review the unpermitted mobile home occupancy with the assistance of the Virginia Department of Health, the Department of Social Services and the county building official.

- c. Adoption of a Smoking Control Ordinance.

County Attorney, Thomas J. McCarthy, Jr., advised the Smoking Control Ordinance, as proposed, reflected modifications needed to conform to state code.

Chairman Sheffey opened the public hearing on this matter.

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

No comments were heard; therefore, Chairman Sheffey closed the public hearing.

Dr. Fariss suggested that the School Superintendent be added as the designated individual to determine smoking designated areas for the schools. He further suggested that the fine for violation of the proposed ordinance be clarified to read \$25.00 per occurrence.

On the motion of Mr. Cook, seconded by Mr. Conner and carried, the Board of Supervisors approved the following Smoking Control Ordinance with amendments as suggested by Dr. Fariss and per the recommendation of the County Attorney.

WHEREAS, it is the determination of the Board of Supervisors of Pulaski County, Virginia, that nonregulated smoking in County owned and School Board owned buildings creates a fire hazard, and consequently constitutes a threat to the health, safety, and general welfare of the county citizens and County and School Board employees using the County and School Board buildings;

WHEREAS, it is further determined by the Board of Supervisors that smoking shall be prohibited in County and School Board buildings except in designated smoking areas, as determined by the County Administrator or his designee for county owned buildings, and by the School Superintendent or his designee for School Board owned buildings;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, that this Ordinance be adopted effective upon its passage, that no person shall smoke in designated no-smoking areas of the County of Pulaski and Pulaski County School Board owned buildings, which shall be all areas of such buildings except designated smoking areas of such buildings and any person who continues to smoke in no-smoking areas of County or School Board owned buildings after being asked to refrain from smoking in such areas shall be subject to a civil penalty of not more than twenty-five dollars for each occurrence; and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that any law enforcement officer may issue a summons regarding a violation of this Ordinance.

Pursuant to Section 15.2-2804 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, it shall be unlawful for any person to smoke in any of the following places covered by this Ordinance:

1. Elevators regardless of capacity;
2. Common areas in an educational facility, including, but not limited to, classrooms, hallways, auditoriums, and public meeting rooms;
3. Any part of a restaurant or cafeteria designated as a “no-smoking” area pursuant to this Ordinance;
4. Indoor service lines and cashier areas; and
5. School buses and public conveyances.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

d. Amendment of the Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance.

Assistant County Administrator, Peter Huber, reviewed with the Board of Supervisors amendments to the Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance as recommended by the Planning Commission. Mr. Huber advised the revisions would bring the Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance into compliance with state standards and should streamline procedures for developers.

Chairman Sheffey opened the public hearing on this matter.

No comments were heard; therefore, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

On the motion of Mr. Conner, seconded by Mr. White and carried, the Board of Supervisors approved the following Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance as recommended by staff and the Planning Commission:

AN ORDINANCE REPEALING AND REENACTING THE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL ORDINANCE OF PULASKI COUNTY, VIRGINIA. BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PULASKI COUNTY, VIRGINIA, THAT THE EXISTING EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL ORDINANCE BE AND THE SAME IS HEREBY, REPEALED AND REENACTED AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1 Title

This ordinance shall be known as the “Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance of Pulaski County, Virginia”.

Section 2 Purpose

The purpose of this ordinance is to conserve the land, water, air and other natural resources of Pulaski County and promote the public health and welfare of the people in Pulaski County by establishing requirements for the control of erosion and sedimentation, and by establishing procedures whereby these requirements shall be administered and enforced as provided for by Section 10.1-560 through 10.1-571 of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

Section 3 Authorization

This ordinance is authorized by the Code of Virginia, Title 10, Chapter 5, Article 4 known as the "Erosion and Sediment Control Law". This article provides for a comprehensive statewide program with standards and guidelines to control soil erosion and sedimentation, which is implemented on the local level.

Section 4 Definitions

As used in this ordinance, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

Agent: The County Engineer of Pulaski County

County: Means Pulaski County

Governing Body: The Board of Supervisors of Pulaski County

District or Soil and Water Conservation District: Means the Skyline Soil and Water Conservation District or its successors and "Conservation District Officials" shall refer to the directors of the Skyline Soil and Water Conservation District or to its staff, and their successors.

Land-Disturbing Activity: Means any land change which may result in soil erosion from water or wind and the movement of sediments into state waters or onto lands in the state, including, but not limited to, clearing, grading, excavating, transporting, and filling of land, except that the term shall not include: (a) such minor land-disturbing activities as home gardens and individual home landscaping, repairs and maintenance work; (b) individual service connections; (c) installation, maintenance, or repair of any underground public utility lines when such activity occurs on an existing hard surfaced road, street or sidewalk provided such land-disturbing activity is confined to surfaced; (d) septic tank lines or drainage field unless included in an overall plan for land-disturbing activity relating to construction of the building to be served by the septic tank system; (e) surface or deep mining; (f) exploration or drilling for oil and gas including the well site, roads, feeder lines, and off-site disposal area; (g) tilling, planting, or harvesting of agricultural, horticultural, or forest crops, or livestock feedlot operations; including engineering operations as follows:

construction of terraces, terrace outlets, check dams, desilting basins, dikes, ponds, ditches, strip cropping, lister furrowing, contour cultivating, contour furrowing, land drainage, and land irrigation; however, this exception shall not apply to harvesting of forest crops unless the area on which harvesting occurs is reforested artificially or naturally in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 11 (Section 10.1-1100 et. seq.) of this title or is converted to bona fide agricultural or improved pasture use as described in subsection B of Section 10.1-1163; (h) repair or rebuilding of the tracks, right-of-way, bridges communication facilities and other related structures and facilities of a railroad company; (i) disturbed land areas for commercial or noncommercial uses of less than ten thousand (10,000) square feet in size; (j) installation of fence and sign posts or telephone and electric poles and other kinds of posts or poles; (k) emergency work to protect life, limb or property and emergency repairs provided that if the land disturbing activity would have required an approved erosion and sediment control plan, if the activity were not an emergency, then the land area disturbed shall be shaped and stabilized in accordance with the requirements of the Pulaski County County Engineer or the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board when applicable.

Persons: Means any individual, partnership, firm, association, joint venture, public or private corporation, trust, estate, commission, board, public or private institution, utility, cooperative, county, city, town or other political subdivision of this state, any interstate body, or any other legal entity.

Conservation Standards or Standards: Means the standards adopted by the Virginia Soil and Water Board.

Conservation Plan, Erosion and Sediment Control Plan: Means a document containing material for the conservation of soil and water resources or a unit or group of units of land. It may include appropriate maps, an appropriate soil and water plan inventory and management information with needed interpretations, and a record of decisions contributing to conservation treatment. The plan shall contain all major conservation decisions to assure that the entire unit or units of land will be so treated to achieve the conservation objectives.

State Erosion and Sediment Control Program or State Program: Means the program adopted by the Board consisting of conservation standards, guidelines and criteria to minimize erosion and sedimentation. Drainage calculations included in this document shall be given in gallons per minute and cubic feet per second.

4-10 Local Erosion and Sediment Control Program or Local Control Program: Means an outline or explanation of the various elements or methods employed by Pulaski County to regulate land-disturbing activities and thereby minimize erosion and sedimentation in compliance with the state program and includes the Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance of Pulaski County, policies and guidelines, The Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook, inspection, enforcement, and evaluation.

Plan Approving Authority: Means the County Engineer who is responsible for determining the adequacy of a conservation plan submitted for land-disturbing activities on a unit or units of land and shall approve such plans if the plan is determined to be adequate.

Board or Virginia Soil and Water Conservation: Means the agency created in Section 10.1 of the Code of Virginia.

Land-Disturbing Permit: Means a permit issued by Pulaski County for clearing, filling, excavating, grading, or transporting, or any combination thereof, on all land.

Section 5 Local Erosion and Sediment Control Program

This ordinance, and the Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook, as amended from time to time, and the Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Regulations shall be an integral part of the Pulaski County erosion and sediment control program.

To carry out local control program, those standards and procedures as outlined in this ordinance and the Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook, and the Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Regulations shall apply. The County Engineer when considering the adequacy of such submitted plan, shall be guided by those criteria.

Section 6 Regulated Land-Disturbing Activities

Except as provided in subsections 6-2, 6-3, and 6-4, no person may engage in any land-disturbing activity after the effective date of this ordinance until he has submitted to the agent an erosion and sediment plan for such land-disturbing activity and such plan has been approved by the County Engineer. Where land-disturbing activities involve lands under the jurisdiction of more than one (1) local control program, an erosion and sediment control plan may, at the option of the applicant, be submitted to the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board for review and approval rather than submission to each jurisdiction concerned. Where the land-disturbing activity results from the construction of a single-family residence, an agreement may be substituted in lieu of an erosion and sediment control plan if executed by the plan-approving authority.

6-2 Any person who owns, occupies, or operates private agricultural, horticultural, or forest lands shall not be deemed to be in violation of this ordinance for land-disturbing activities which result from the tilling, planting or harvesting of agricultural, horticultural, or forest crops or products or engineering operations such as the construction of terraces, terrace outlets, check dams, desilting basins, floodwater retarding structures, channel improvements, floodways, dikes, ditches, and the like; the utilization of strip cropping lister furrowing, contour cultivating, and contour furrowing; land drainage; land irrigation; seeding and planting of

waste, sloping, abandoned, or eroded lands to water-conserving and erosion-preventing plants, trees, and grasses; forestation and reforestation; rotation of crops; soil stabilization with trees, grasses, legumes, and other thick growing, soil holding crops; and retirement from cultivation of steep, highly erosive areas and areas not badly gullied or otherwise eroded.

Any state agency that undertakes a project involving a land-disturbing activity.

Any person whose land-disturbing activities involve lands which extend into the jurisdiction of another local erosion and sediment control program; provided, such person has a plan approved by the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board. Such persons shall comply with the requirements of this ordinance concerning a performance bond or cash escrow.

Whenever a land-disturbing activity is proposed to be conducted by a contractor performing construction work pursuant to a construction contract, the preparation, submission and approval of the required erosion and sediment control plan shall be the responsibility of the owner of the land.

Electric, natural gas and telephone utility companies, interstate and intrastate natural gas pipeline companies and railroad companies shall file general erosion and sediment control specifications annually with the Virginia Soil and Water Board.

Section 7 Action on Erosion and Sediment Control Plans

The agent will require any person submitting a plan, to submit a total of four (4) copies of such plan.

The County Engineer shall review plans submitted to him and shall within forty-five days approve such plan if he determines that the plan is adequate in consideration of the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Commission's guidelines and the conservation standards of the local control program, and if the person responsible for carrying out the plan certifies that he will properly perform the conservation measures included in the plan and will conform to the provisions of this ordinance.

The County Engineer must act on all plans submitted to him within 45 days approving said plan in writing or by disapproving said plan in writing giving the specific reasons for its disapproval. When a plan submitted for approval under this section is found, upon review by the County Engineer to be inadequate, the County Engineer shall specify such modifications, terms, and conditions as will permit approval of the plan and shall communicate these requirements to the applicant as herein required. If no action is taken by the County Engineer within the time specified above, the plan shall be deemed approved and the person authorized to proceed with the proposed activity.

An approved plan may be changed by the County Engineer who had

approved the plan in the following cases:

Where inspection has revealed the inadequacy of the plan to accomplish the erosion and sediment control objectives of the plan.

Where the person responsible for carrying out the approved plan finds that because of changed circumstances or for other reasons the approved plan cannot be effectively carried out, and proposed amendments to the plan, consistent with the requirements of this ordinance, are agreed to by the County Engineer and the person responsible for carrying out the plan.

The County Engineer may seek technical advice from the District and/or such other engineering firms, local, state, or federal agencies that he deems necessary to insure the adequacy of any submitted plan. When any person submits an erosion and sediment control plan to the County Engineer, the County Engineer may submit it to an independent professional engineering firm and/or engineering consulting firm with experience in preparing erosion and sediment control plans for recommendations to the County Engineer. The cost of this review will be included in the normal fee for the Land Disturbing Permit.

Section 8 Issuance of Land-Disturbing Permit; Fees

No person may engage in any land-disturbing activity until he has acquired a land-disturbing permit from the agent, except as provided in subsections 8-2 and 8-3.

Upon the effective date of this ordinance, no agency authorized under any other law to issue grading, building, or other permits for activities involving land-disturbing activities may issue and such permits unless the applicant therefore submits with his application the erosion and sediment-control plan or certification of such approved plan from the Pulaski County Engineer, as well as certification that such plan will be followed.

8-3 A plan review and inspection fee of fifty dollars (\$50) plus fifty dollars (\$50) per acre or any part thereof of land to be disturbed is to be paid to Pulaski County at the time of filing erosion and sediment control plans.

Section 9 Approved Plan Required for Issuance of Permits, Certifications; Bonding of Performance

The agent shall not issue any (grading, land-disturbing, building, or other) permits for activities which involve land-disturbing activities unless the applicant therefore submits, with his application, the approved erosion and sediment control plan or certification of such approved plan, and certification that such plan will be followed.

The County Engineer, prior to the issuance of any (grading, land-disturbing, building or other) permit will require from any applicant, a reasonable performance bond, letter of credit, cash escrow, or other legal

arrangements and acceptable to the agent to ensure that measures could be taken by the County of Pulaski at the applicant's expense should he fail, after proper notice, within the time specified to initiate or maintain appropriate conservation action which may be required of him as a result of his land-disturbing activity. Within sixty days of the achievement of adequate stabilization land-disturbing activity, such bond, cash escrow, letter of credit, or other legal arrangement, or the unexpended or unobligated portion thereof, shall be refunded to the applicant or terminated, as the case may be.

The requirements of this section are in addition to all other provisions of law, which relate to the issuance of such permits and shall not be intended to otherwise affect the requirements for such permits.

Section 10 Monitoring, Reports and Inspections

The County Engineer or his or her agent shall periodically inspect the land-disturbing activity to insure compliance with the approved plan and to determine whether the measures required in that plan are effective in controlling erosion and sediment resulting from the land-disturbing activity. The right-of-entry to conduct such inspections shall be expressly reserved in the permit. The permit-holder, or his duly designated representative, shall be afforded the opportunity to accompany the inspectors. If the County Engineer or his or her agent determines that the permit-holder has failed to comply with the plan, the County Engineer or his or her agent shall immediately serve upon the permit-holder, by registered or certified mail to the address specified by the permit-holder in his permit application, a notice to comply. Such notice shall set forth specifically the measures needed to come into compliance with such plan and shall specify the time within which such measures shall be completed. If the permit-holder fails to comply within the time specified, he may be subject to revocation of the permit; furthermore, he shall be deemed to be in violation of this ordinance and upon conviction shall be subject to the penalties provided by this ordinance.

With respect to approved plans for erosion and sediment control in connection with all regulated land-disturbing activities which require no permit, the agent may require of the person responsible for carrying out the plan such monitoring and reports, and may make such on-site inspections after notice to that person as are deemed necessary to determine whether the soil erosion and sediment control measures required by the approved plan are being properly performed, and whether such measures are effective in controlling soil erosion and sediment resulting from the land-disturbing activity. Such person shall be afforded an opportunity to accompany the inspectors on any on-site inspection. If it is determined that there is a failure to comply with the approved plan, the County Engineer or his or her agent shall serve notice upon the person who is responsible for carrying out the plan at the address specified by

him in his certification at the time of obtaining his approved plan. Such notice shall set forth the measures needed for compliance and the time within which such measures shall be completed. Upon failure of such person to comply within the specified period, he will be deemed to be in violation of this ordinance and, upon conviction, shall be subject to the penalties provided by this ordinance.

Section 11 Administrative Appeal: Judicial Review

11-1 Final decisions of the County Engineer or his or her agent under this ordinance shall be subject to review by the Pulaski County Planning Commission, provided an appeal is filed within 30 days from the date of any written decision by the County Engineer or his or her agent, which adversely affects the rights, duties or privileges of the person engaging in or proposing to engage in land-disturbing activities.

11-2 Final decisions of the Planning Commission shall be subject to review by the Board of Supervisors, provided an appeal is filed within 30 days from the date of any written decision by the Commission, which adversely affects the rights, duties or privileges of the person engaging in or proposing to engage in land-disturbing activities.

Final decisions of the Board of Supervisors under this ordinance shall be subject to review by the Circuit Court of Pulaski County, provided an appeal is filed within 30 days from the date of the final written decision, which adversely affects the rights, duties or privileges of the person engaging in or proposing to engage in land-disturbing activities.

Section 12 Penalties, Injunctions, and Other Legal Actions

A violation of this ordinance shall be deemed a Class I misdemeanor. The County Engineer may apply to the Circuit Court of Pulaski County for injunctive relief to enjoin a violation or a threatened violation of this ordinance, without the necessity of showing that there does not exist an adequate remedy at law.

The County Attorney shall, upon request of the County Engineer, take legal action to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

12-4 Compliance with the provisions of this ordinance shall be prima facie evidence in any legal or equitable proceeding for damages caused by erosion, siltation or sedimentation that all requirements of law have been met and the complaining party must show negligence in order to recover any damages.

Section 13 Severability

If any provision of this ordinance is held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such unconstitutionality or invalidity shall not affect the remaining provisions.

Section 14 Conflicting Ordinances

The Pulaski County Soil & Erosion Control Ordinance adopted on January 26, 1976, is hereby repealed and the present ordinance adopted in its place and stead.

Section 15 Amendments

This ordinance may be amended in whole or in part by the governing body provided that such amendments shall either originate with or be submitted to the commission of governing body for recommendation; and further provided that no such amendment shall be adopted without a public hearing having been held. Notice of the public hearing shall be in accordance with Section 15.10431 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

Section 16 Effective Date

This ordinance shall be effective on and after November 22nd, 1999.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.
Voting no: none.

4. Treasurer's Report:

The Board reviewed reports submitted by Treasurer Rose Marie Tickle and accepted reports as submitted.

5. Citizens' Comments

No citizen comments were heard at this time.

6. Reports from the County Administrator & Staff:

a. Key Activity Timetable

The Board reviewed the Key Activity Timetable as presented by staff.

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

Dr. Fariss inquired regarding locations for future drop off centers and stressed the need for the county to support re-cycling.

b. Town of Pulaski/County Joint 911 Dispatching

The Board reviewed a report from staff regarding joint 911 dispatching by the Town of Pulaski and the county. County Administrator, Joseph Morgan, advised that joint dispatching was technically feasible. He suggested the Board of Supervisors add this item to the joint meeting with the two towns scheduled for November 30, 1999.

The Board requested staff to add this matter to the agenda for the joint town council meeting and the supervisors set for November 30, 1999.

c. Intergovernmental Meeting – November 30, 1999

The Board reviewed the tentative agenda for the joint town council/supervisors meeting set for November 30, 1999 and made no changes other than adding the staff report on joint 911 dispatching with the Town of Pulaski.

d. Legislative Requests

Staff discussed with the Board of Supervisors state and federal legislative issues which could be discussed with the state and federal representatives.

The Board requested staff to draft a letter to the appropriate legislators for signature by Chairman Sheffey requesting the following:

1. I-81 Welcome Sign Placement;
2. Completion of Rt. 100 Giles County;
3. Lottery proceeds to public education;
4. Need to restore inmate good time earned for work;
5. Airport overlay funding from FAA; and
6. Additional Secondary Road funding.

e. Workforce Investment Agreement

On the motion of Mr. Cook, seconded by Mr. Conner and carried, the Board of Supervisors approved the Workforce Investment Agreement and authorized Chairman Sheffey to execute said agreement on behalf of the Board of Supervisors. The Board further appointed Assistant County Administrator, Peter Huber, as the county's representative on the policy board of the Workforce Investment Board. A copy of said agreement shall be filed with the Office of the County Administrator.

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

f. Appointments:

The Board deferred action on the appointments until the closed session of the meeting.

7. Items of Consent:

On the motion of Mr. White, seconded by Mr. Conner and carried, the Board approved the following items of consent unless otherwise noted.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

a. Minutes of October 25, 1999

The Board approved the minutes of October 25, 1999 as presented.

b. Accounts Payable

The Board approved the accounts payable listing as presented on checks numbered 25601 through 26019.

c. Appropriations and Transfers

1. Interoffice Transfers #5 - \$36,684.37

The Board approved interoffice transfers #5 in the amount of \$36,684.37 as prepared by Management Services Director, Nancy Burchett.

2. General Fund Appropriation FY 00 #6 - \$115,862.74

Revenues:

1899-76 Donations Friends of Claytor Lake	\$	650.00
1899-60 Office on Youth Donations		1,245.74
2404-30 Victims Assistance Grant		21,011.00
2404-52 Crime Control Planning NRVDPC		<u>67,881.00</u>
Total	\$	90,787.74

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

Expenditures:

3306-300908 Crime Control Planning Grant	\$	48,262.00
3306-300912 Crime Control Planning Grant		19,619.00
5338-5606 Victims Assistance Grant		21,011.00
5322-6013 Office on Youth Educational Supplies		1,245.74
7115-5839 Friends of Claytor Lake Donations		650.00
9310-5823 Revenue Refunds Bldg. Permits		100.00
9310-582301 Revenue Refunds State Bldg. Permits		573.00
9310-5825 Revenue Refunds County Decals		322.00
9310-5827 Revenue Refunds Other Agencies		24,055.00
9310-5835 Revenue Refunds Special Permits Zoning, Etc.		25.00
Total	\$	115,862.74

3. Capital Improvements Fund Appropriations #4 - \$234,088

Revenues:

3209-01 Rural Development Loan Cloyd's Mt. Sewer	\$	700,00.00
5102-00 Transfer from General Fund		<u>465,912.00</u>
Total	\$	234,088.00

Expenditures:

4224-3002 Cloyd's Mt. Sewer Prof. Services	\$	97,005.00
4224-7138 Cloyd's Mt. Sewer Pump Station		<u>137,083.00</u>
Total	\$	234,088.00

4. School Fund Appropriations #4 - (\$2,253); #5 - \$55,598; #6 - \$51,145.33; #7 (\$13.00); #8 - \$11,634; #9 - \$120,000; #10 - \$25,836; and #11 - \$53,300.

Revenues: #4

330110 Title 6	\$	- 2,253.00
----------------	----	------------

Expenditures:

6910-280110 Title 6 Cafeteria Plan	\$	4.00
6910-601310 Title 6 Instructional Supplies		-10,257.00
6910-8201 Title 6 Machinery & Equipment		<u>8,000.00</u>
Total	\$	- 2,253.00

Revenues: #5

330111 Title VI B Flow Through	\$	55,598.00
--------------------------------	----	-----------

Expenditures:

6978-112120 Flow Through Current Teachers	\$	57,716.00
6978-114120 Flow Through Instructional Aides		7,500.00
6978-2101-20 Flow Through FICA		4,989.00
6978-221120 Flow Through VRS		2,811.00
6978-314020 Flow Through Other Prof. Services		17,602.00
6978-601320 Flow Through Instructional Supplies		-41,883.00
6978-8201 Flow Through Machinery & Equipment		<u>6,863.00</u>
Total	\$	55,598.00

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

Revenues: #6	
330128 Goals Reimbursement	\$ 51,145.33
Expenditures:	
6992-3140 Goals 2000 Other Prof. Services	\$ 1,011.45
6992-8201 Goals 2000 Machinery & Equipment	<u>50,133.88</u>
Total	\$ 51,145.33
Revenues: #7	
3301-13 Drug Free Schools	\$ - 13.00
Expenditures:	
6940-1141 Drug Grant Instructional Aides	\$ - 13.00
Revenues: #8	
3301-06 Vocational Education	\$ 11,634.00
Expenditures:	
6911-550430 Carl Perkins – Travel	\$ 5,143.00
6911-820130 Carl Perkins – Machinery & Equipment	<u>6,491.00</u>
Total	\$ 11,634.00
Revenues: #9	
240262 Electronic Classroom	\$ 120,000.00
Expenditures:	
6133-8201-05 Media Services Machinery & Equipment	\$ 120,000.00
Revenues: #10	
330119 Title II Eisenhower	\$ 25,836.00
Expenditures:	
6950-1621 Title II Current Supplemental Salaries	\$ 16,700.00
6950-2101 Title II Current FICA	1,278.00
6950-3140 Title II Current Other Professional Services	5,350.00
6950-5504 Title II Current Travel	<u>2,508.00</u>
Total	\$ 25,836.00
Revenues: #11	
3301-33 Technology Literacy Challenge Grant	\$ 53,300.00
Expenditures:	
6966-3140 Technology Literacy Other Prof. Services	\$ 5,575.00
6966-1621 Technology Literacy Supplements	13,450.00
6966-5504 Technology Literacy Travel	10,259.00
6966-6014 Technology Literacy Other Supplies	1,479.00
6966-8201 Technology Literacy Capital Equipment	<u>22,537.00</u>
Total	\$ 53,300.00

d. Ratification:

1. Change Order

No change orders were presented at this meeting.

2. Agreements, Grants, & Other:

a. Library Grant Application Comm. Foundation of the New River Valley \$500.00

The Board ratified a grant application from the Library to the Community Foundation of the New River Valley to support the Moms, Pops & Tots program in the amount of \$500.00.

b. Library Grant Application C. E. Richardson Fund \$9,015

The Board ratified a grant application from the Library to the C. E. Richardson Foundation in the amount of \$9,015 for the development of a training student work center at the library.

c. NRV Criminal Justice Services Board Fiscal Agent

The Board ratified Pulaski County acting as fiscal agent for local funds resulting from a \$25 user fee for offenders under the supervision of the NRV Community Corrections, Inc. or Wythe Regional Community Corrections as requested by the New River Valley Criminal Justice Services Board.

e. Personnel Changes

The Board reviewed personnel changes as reported by Management Services Director Nancy Burchett.

f. Housing Proposals

Staff reported preliminary meetings had been held with both top ranked housing proposers. Further, a recommendation from staff will be forwarded to the Board by the December meeting regarding specific terms.

g. Commonwealth's Attorney Office Space

The Board reviewed with staff a plan for the Commonwealth Attorney's Office to be located on the second floor of the brick courthouse. The Board approved renovations to the brick courthouse to accommodate the renovation at an estimated cost of \$20,000.

h. Memorial Resolution – Alden Hankla

The Board approved the following Memorial Resolution honoring Alden Hankla:

RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION

WHEREAS, Alden Hankla served as a member of the Dublin Town Council from 1971 to 1974 and from 1992 up to his untimely death; and

WHEREAS, Alden Hankla has been a leader in his community and has given countless hours in service to the citizens of Pulaski County; and

WHEREAS, in his relations with the county government, Mr. Hankla has always been a peacemaker, calmly seeking the best services for all town and county citizens; and

WHEREAS, the contribution of Mr. Hankla to our county will benefit our citizens well into the future;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that this Board of Supervisors does extend to the family of Alden Hankla its sympathy and condolences in his passing; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that that text of this resolution be spread on the minutes of the Board of Supervisors in permanent testimony of its appreciation to the service provided by Alden Hankla to the citizens of Pulaski County.

Adopted this 22nd of November, 1999.

i. Resolutions – Ron Chaffin & Lewis Pratt

RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION

Ronald E. Chaffin

WHEREAS, Ronald E. "Ron" Chaffin has announced his retirement as a member of the Pulaski County School Board, effective December 31, 1999; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Chaffin's service on the School Board exemplifies the three qualities set out on the Pulaski County Seal, PRIDE, EXCELLENCE and VISION, as follows:

PRIDE – Mr. Chaffin's leadership has proudly been recognized by both appointment and election: Mr. Chaffin was appointed in 1985 by the School Board Selection Commission. Mr. Chaffin was elected by the voters in 1995.

EXCELLENCE – Mr. Chaffin, a graduate of Pulaski High School, has guided the school system from which he graduated toward excellence, as shown by:

Pioneering in application of instructional technology, such as the 1992 bond referendum for computers at every school;
Supporting four school superintendents, all with unique talents and strengths, including selection of the current and past two superintendents, as well as numerous administrators;
Seeking always to yield the best educational value for the dollar with limited financial resources, including dwindling state support of public schools;
Renovating Snowville and Critzer Elementary Schools to set the standard for the county's future neighborhood schools; and
Persevering, through seemingly endless meetings and difficult decisions, including the closing of two elementary schools.

VISION – Mr. Chaffin's leadership has focused on preparing the county school system for the next century, by

Supporting a well rounded system, where academic and extra-curricula activities receive balanced attention;
Charting a building program to upgrade county's elementary and middle schools, as well as maintain its central high school;
Introducing new regional opportunities, such as the Southwest Virginia Governor's School and the Technology Magnet School; and
Serving as a key liaison between higher education, the school system and workforce training.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Pulaski County Board of Supervisors hereby commend and express its appreciation for the service of Ronald E. Chaffin to the county and its citizens; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the text of this resolution be spread upon the minutes of the Board of Supervisors this 22nd day of November, 1999 in permanent testimony of its appreciation to the service of Ronald E. Chaffin.

.....

RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION
Lewis T. Pratt

WHEREAS, Lewis T. Pratt has announced his retirement as a member of the Pulaski County School Board, effective December 31, 1999; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Pratt's service on the School Board exemplifies the three qualities set out on the Pulaski County Seal, PRIDE, EXCELLENCE and VISION, as follows:

PRIDE – Mr. Pratt's leadership has proudly been recognized by both appointment and election: Mr. Pratt was appointed in 1991 by the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Pratt was elected by the voters in 1995.

EXCELLENCE – Mr. Pratt, a graduate of Dublin High School, has guided the school system from which he graduated toward excellence, as shown by:

Pioneering in application of instructional technology, such as the 1991 bond referendum for computers at every school;
Seeking always to yield the best educational value for the dollar with limited financial resources, including dwindling state support of public schools;
Renovating Snowville and Critzer Elementary Schools to set the standard for the county's future neighborhood schools;
Persevering, through seemingly endless meetings and difficult decisions.
Chairing the first elected Pulaski County School Board, as working as chairman with two school superintendents.

VISION – Mr. Pratt's leadership has focused on preparing the county school system for the next century, by

Supporting a well rounded system, where academic and extra-curricula activities receive balanced attention;
Charting a building program to upgrade county's elementary and middle schools, as well as maintain its central high school;
Leading regional opportunities, such as through his membership on the Southwest Virginia Governor's School Board; and
Serving as a key liaison between agri-business and the school system.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Pulaski County Board of Supervisors hereby commend and express its appreciation for the service of Lewis T. Pratt to the county and its citizens; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the text of this resolution be spread upon the minutes of the Board of Supervisors this 22nd day of November, 1999 in permanent testimony of its appreciation to the service of Lewis T. Pratt.

j. Scheduling of Public Hearing – Property Disposition

The Board authorized staff to schedule a public hearing on the disposition of surplus school property in the Town of Dublin on the old Dublin Primary School campus for the December 20, 1999 Board meeting.

8. Highway Matters:

Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) Resident Engineer, Dan Brugh and Assistant Engineer, Dale Stancil, met with the board and discussed the following matters:

a. Follow-up from Previous Board meeting

1. Six Year Plan and FY 01 Budget Approval

On the motion of Dr. Fariss, seconded by Mr. Cook and carried, the Board adopted the following resolution pertaining to the Six Year Plan and FY 01 Budget Approval:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Pulaski County, in cooperation with representatives of the Virginia Department of Transportation have prepared a proposed Six-Year Plan for Pulaski County listing improvements proposed on the State Secondary Highway System in Pulaski County for which funds are to be budgeted in fiscal years 2000-2006; and

WHEREAS, a duly advertised public hearing was conducted at the County Administration Building in Pulaski, Virginia at 7:00 p.m. on October 25, 1999, for the purposes of informing interested citizens of the proposed Six-Year Plan and for soliciting public input into the planning process in accordance with Section 33.1-70.01 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended; and

WHEREAS, this Board has given due consideration to such input and other factors pertaining to improvement to the State Secondary Highway System in Pulaski County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the Board of Supervisors of Pulaski County hereby approves the aforementioned Six-Year Plan for improvement of the State Secondary Highway System in Pulaski County for fiscal years 2000-2006, with the following changes.

Projects to be added:

1. Gate Ten Road
2. Sayers Road
3. Irish Mountain Road
4. Alum Spring Road
5. Loving Field Road

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that adoption of this plan also establishes priorities for preparation of the annual budget for the first year, 2000-01 by the Virginia Department of Transportation Resident Engineer.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.
Voting no: none.

2. Guardrail Request, Rt. 636, Alum Spring Road

The Board instructed staff to add the above request to the guardrail review listing.

3. Confirmation of Request for Speed Limit Sign Postings:

Mr. Brugh reported the following roads had been posted to the greatest extent feasible by VDOT, but generally 55 mph is not widely posted. Therefore, where a road is unposted, the maximum speed is 55 mph or lower, subject to conditions of the road. Further, for those road listed below as unposted he advised there was nothing to justify a speed limit posting. He noted the following on each of these roads:

a. Rt. 798, Falling Branch Road

Mr. Brugh advised that Rt. 798, Falling Branch Road could not be posted until construction had been completed by VDOT.

b. Rt. 787, Peak Creek Road & Rt. 644, Hurston Road

Mr. Brugh reported that the above two road were unposted.

c. Rt. 623, Gate Ten Road

Mr. Brugh reported this road was unposted.

d. Rt. 640, Brookmont Road

Mr. Brugh reported this road was unposted.

e. Rt. 690, Shelburne Road

Mr. Brugh reported this road was unposted.

4. New River Industrial Park Access Road Guardrails

Mr. Brugh advised he will review the above guardrail request and add to the guardrail request listing.

5. New River Valley Bike Lane Costs

On the motion of Dr. Fariss, seconded by Mr. Conner and carried, the Board of Supervisors approved the New River Valley Bike Plan as previously submitted by staff with funding for bike lanes to be on a case by case basis or from non-secondary road funds. A copy of the New River Valley Bike Plan shall be filed with the Office of County Administrator.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

6. Rt. 606, Parrott Mountain Road, Center Line Striping

Mr. Brugh reported that only a portion of Rt. 606 qualifies for center line striping. He advised the center line striping will be done up to Rt. 687, where 18' wide and 500 vehicles per day meet.

b. Guardrail Request, Rt. 612, Creek Road

Mr. Brugh advised VDOT would include this request on the guardrail listing.

c. Request for Improvements to NRCC Entrance Road

Mr. Brugh reported VDOT officials have evaluated the entrance road to New River Community College in the past, but can pursue as a primary road allocation, however, funding is unlikely.

Dr. Fariss suggested that VDOT review this area for a paving space between the off ramp of Route 100 and entrance to New River Community College onto Rt. 11.

Mr. Brugh advised VDOT could review this suggestion and report back to the Board at a future meeting.

d. Intersection of Rt. 11, Lee Highway and Rt. 114, Peppers Ferry Boulevard

Mr. Brugh reported VDOT officials are currently reviewing the possibility of installing two dual lights from Rt. 114, Peppers Ferry Boulevard, to Radford onto Rt. 11, Lee Highway. He advised VDOT should have a recommendation on this matter by the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

e. Resolution – Addition to Secondary System to Serve NRIP

On the motion of Mr. Conner, seconded by Mr. Cook and carried, the Board of Supervisors approved the following resolution adding a street in the New River Industrial Park to the secondary system of highways:

WHEREAS, The Virginia Department of Transportation has constructed Project: 0679-077-199,N501 to serve a part of the New River Industrial Park as described below:

Beginning at a point on Route 679, 0.07mi. N. of the End of State Maintenance; and thence extending in an easterly direction, 0.59mi. to a Cul-De-Sac.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this Board requests this street to be added to the secondary system of highway, pursuant to section 33.1-229 of the Code of Virginia, as amended:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, this Board guarantees a clear and unrestricted right-of-way with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage, as recorded in the Pulaski County Clerk's Office in Plat Cabinet 1, slide 335, page 2, dated October 5, 1998.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Resident Engineer of the Virginia Department of Transportation.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

g. Resolution – Memorial Bridge Replacement

On the motion of Mr. White, seconded by Mr. Conner and carried, the Board of Supervisors re-affirmed its support for the Memorial Bridge replacement project currently underway by the Virginia Department of Transportation.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.
Voting no: none.

h. Black Hollow Road, Rt. 636, Improvements

Mr. Brugh advised VDOT placed the tar and gravel on this road to reduce the slickness; however, VDOT will review for further improvements.

i. Board of Supervisors Concerns

Supervisor Conner inquired regarding the Rt. 601, Little Creek Road, drainage into Mr. Allen Woodyard's residence which is about two miles from Rt. 100. Mr. Brugh advised VDOT would review this for improvements.

Supervisor Fariss inquired regarding the southbound welcome sign location on I-81. Mr. Brugh reported VDOT has no recommendation on a location of a county welcome sign on I-81 at this time.

Supervisor Cook inquired regarding the Whitehorne connector feasibility. Mr. Brugh advised that the Whitehorne connector feasibility is a long range project with all current projects to be completed first.

Supervisor Sheffey inquired regarding the possibility of board members receiving a report from VDOT listing the six year plan projects which have been constructed, and to also include a summarizing balance. Mr. Brugh advised he could send such a report to the Board on a semi-annual basis.

j. Citizen Concerns

No citizen concerns regarding highway matters were heard.

9. Citizen Comments

No citizen comments were heard at this time.

10. Other Matters from Supervisors

Supervisor Cook expressed concern regarding the delay in the recent election results being made public; however, he noted the results were put on the internet. He requested information from the Registrar's Office regarding this delay.

Supervisor Fariss inquired as to whether the entire county can be polled regarding lower telephone toll cost to calls made to the Blacksburg area. Staff was requested to ask Bell Atlantic officials for the cost for the extended service area to Blacksburg for individuals with a 980 exchange.

Supervisor Fariss expressed concerns regarding the need for a new library. He also referred to a recent news article quoting a national library report which rated the Pulaski County library system very low.

The Board of Supervisors requested a report from the Library Board by the January, 2000 regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors addressing the concerns expressed by Supervisor Fariss.

Supervisor Fariss requested staff to provide a report on the feasibility of an aviation technical school at New River Community College.

The Board requested staff to arrange for a reception/dinner in recognition of Supervisor White's service to the county.

Dr. Fariss requested staff to send the Department of Environmental Quality and American Electric Power 7865kV analysis report to him in a future weekly update.

11. Closed Meeting – 2.1-344.A1.3.5.7

It was moved by Mr. White, seconded by Dr. Fariss and carried, that the Board of Supervisors enter closed session for discussion of the following:

- a. Property Disposition - Pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.1344(A)3 discussion for consideration of disposition and leasing of real property for a public purpose regarding:

*Surplus school property on Bagging Plan Road and Rt. 11 east of Dublin.

*Property leasing.

- b. Property Acquisition - Pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.1-344(A)3 discussion for consideration of acquisition of real property for a public purpose regarding:

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

- *courthouse area;
- *county garage area;
- *Fairlawn public service facility.

- c. Personnel - Pursuant to Virginia Code Section 2.1-344(A)1 discussion for consideration of employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, performance, demotion, salaries, disciplining, or resignation of public officers, appointees or employees, regarding:

*constitutional officers compensation;

*Appointments:

1. New River Community Action Board;
2. New River Valley Development Corporation;
3. Office on Youth;
4. Library Board;
5. Fairview Home Board;
6. Southwest Virginia Economic Development Financing;
7. PEP Steering Committee;
8. Clean Community Council
9. New River Valley Juvenile Detention Home; and
10. Workforce Investment Board

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

Return to Regular Session

On the motion of Dr. Fariss, seconded by Mr. Conner and carried, the Board of Supervisors returned to regular session.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

Certification of Conformance with the Virginia Freedom of Information Act

It was moved by Mr. White, seconded by Mr. Cook and carried, that the Board of Supervisors adopt the following resolution certifying conformance with the Virginia Freedom of Information Act.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Pulaski County, Virginia, has convened a closed meeting of this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provision of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act:

WHEREAS, Section 2.1-344.1 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by this Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was

conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of Pulaski County, Virginia hereby certifies to the best of each members' knowledge (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies; and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in this motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board of Supervisors.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

On the motion of Mr. Cook, seconded by Mr. White and carried, the Board of Supervisors took the following actions regarding property disposition, appointments and personnel matters:

RE: Property Disposition:

The Board approved terminating the current office space lease between the county and the current Commonwealth's Attorney, Everett Shockley.

RE: Property Acquisition:

The Board authorized county administrative staff to assist the School Superintendent with administrative offices alternatives and schedule a presentation of options for review by the Board in closed session at the January regular meeting.

RE: Personnel & Appointments:

Appointments:

The Board made the following appointments:

1. New River Community Action Board

The Board appointed James Wallis, Social Services Director, to the New River Community Action Board for a term ending June 30, 2000.

2. New River Valley Development Corporation

The Board requested staff to place this appointment on the December agenda for action by the Board.

3. Office on Youth

The Board appointed Dave Hart to serve on the Office on Youth Board for a term ending January 1, 2002; and appointed Donald Breedlove to serve on the Office on Youth Board for a term ending January 1, 2003.

4. Library Board

The Board requested staff to determine if Deloris Smith, Don Fariss and Harold Ward would be willing to serve on the Pulaski County Library Board.

5. Fairview Home Board

The Board appointed Supervisor Frank Conner to replace Mason Vaughan, Sr. on the Fairview Home Board. The term of Mr. Conner shall be for a term ending December 31, 2003.

6. Southwest Virginia Economic Development Financing

The Board re-appointed Dallas Cox to the Southwest Virginia Economic Development Financing for an additional term ending December 31, 2000.

7. PEP Steering Committee

The Board appointed Mr. John Young and Ms. Jane Farmer, as an alternate, to the PEP Steering Committee for a term ending June 30, 2002.

8. Clean Community Council

The Board requested staff to place this appointment on the December regular meeting agenda for possible action by the Board.

9. New River Valley Juvenile Detention Home

The Board re-appointed Mr. W. W. Lester to the New River Valley Juvenile Detention Home for a term ending December 31, 2003.

*Personnel Matters:

The Board approved the same increase in compensation to constitutional officer's employees whether state or locally funded and authorized staff to discontinue in the future the term "supplement" for local only pay for constitutional officers' employees.

PULASKI COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS – November 22, 1999

The Board requested staff to survey adjacent counties regarding pay supplements for elected constitutional officers and place on a future meeting agenda for review in closed session.

Voting yes: Dr. Fariss, Mr. Cook, Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: none.

12. Adjournment

It was moved by Mr. Cook, seconded by Mr. White that the meeting be reconvened to November 30, 1999 for the joint supervisors/town councils meeting scheduled for 7 p.m. at Dublin Town Hall. The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board is set for December 20, 1999 at 7:00 p.m. at the County Administration Building, Board Room, 143 3rd Street, NW, in the Town of Pulaski, Virginia.

Voting yes: Mr. Sheffey, Mr. White and Mr. Conner.

Voting no: Dr. Fariss and Mr. Cook.

Joseph L. Sheffey, Chairman

Joseph N. Morgan, Clerk